Effective Engineering Video Tutorials

L.S. Lee¹, H. Estrada¹ and M. Khazaeli¹ ¹ University of the Pacific, Stockton, CA, U.S.A.

Abstract—Increasing technological development, particularly wide accessibility of online video publishing platforms, such as YouTube, has resulted in a corresponding increase in the production and integration of online video content. In this paper we specifically discuss the use of screencast tutorials in higher education courses. Screencast tutorials are being used to provide and supplement instruction at all grade levels, from K thru college, using various delivery approaches from completely online to blended learning environments. The results presented in this paper specifically focus on engineering fundamentals content.

The predominant use of online videos by engineering students has been to seek out specific course related tutorial videos to support their learning or to supplement content in traditional face-to-face courses. However. the characteristics of an effective screencast tutorial for teaching purposes are not well-defined due to the complexity of factors (e.g. written language, spoken language, pace, visualization, duration, production quality, animation, etc.). In this paper we attempt to answer this question using results of a survey of engineering student and instructor perceptions of use and characteristics of online engineering video tutorials. Based on these results, students are most likely to watch online video tutorials to help them develop an approach to: (1) solve homework assignments and (2) prepare for exams. Students and instructors consider organization (characterized by step-by-step, clear, and concise presentation of the material) to be the most valued characteristic of quality engineering video tutorials. Based on the analysis of the results, we provide specific recommendations for individual instructors who wish to either create effective engineering video tutorials or identify effective available online content.

Index Terms—Engineering videos, production approach, screencast tutorials, student and instructor perceptions

I. INTRODUCTION

Technological advancement and wide accessibility of online videos through websites such as YouTube have played a major role in the rapidly increasing volume and use of online screencast tutorials in higher education [1]. Here, screencast tutorials are defined as video captures of handwriting or images accompanied by voice narration. In math, science, and engineering the most popular screencast tutorials are problem-based videos or worked examples intended to help students acquire problem solving skills [1]-[6].

Screencast tutorials are generally characterized by visual (handwriting, images, onscreen movements) and audio features (narration, voice-over, sound) that align well with multimedia learning theory, which states that learning is improved with graphics and narration together as opposed to graphics or narration alone [6, 9].

Furthermore, there is a finite capacity to the amount of information a learner can process, and video tutorials are usually shorter in length and segmented to focus on individual topics, rather than a continuous unit.

The appeal of online education videos for students can be attributed to the following factors [1, 6, 15, 16] : (1) allows students to watch and learn on their own time [1]; (2) provides opportunity to repair learning deficiencies through self-regulation; (3) most college students regularly use online video sites such as YouTube in their personal lives and is a familiar resource; (4) the video tutorials are usually free and easily accessible by mobile device or pc.

Online video supplemental instruction is increasingly being integrated in higher education, with evidence that video content in education can improve comprehension, retention, discovery, and accessibility [1]-[6]. However, the characteristics of an effective screencast tutorial as desired by students to support engineering courses are not well-defined. View counts alone are not an adequate measure of impact, although they may signify popularity It is difficult to assess the effectiveness of videos due to the lack of a robust theoretical framework to consider the complexity of factors associated with online educational videos; these factors include: spoken language, written language, visualization, duration, pace, and other communication factors such as pointers, zooming, transition, gradual text reveals, etc. [17].

In this paper, our objectives are to describe and to compare student and instructor perceptions of the use of online video to support classroom instruction and identify the characteristics and features that this audience considers as an effective engineering video tutorial. The perceptions of engineering instructors and students are investigated through surveys conducted at multiple conferences. The resulting analysis of instructor and student responses is used to provide recommendations for best practices on developing engineering video tutorials in relation to the stages of film production (i.e., preproduction, recording, and post-production).

II. PERCEPTIONS OF ONLINE VIDEO

During the 2016-17 academic year, several surveys of engineering students and engineering faculty were conducted during student conferences and educational workshops to characterize student and instructor perceptions of online engineering video tutorials. 54 undergraduate engineering students from public (37 students) and private universities (17 students) were surveyed during an engineering student conference hosted at University of the Pacific, Stockton, CA. To gain instructor perspectives, 43 engineering instructors from junior colleges and four-year universities were also surveyed during a general engineering educators conference at San Joaquin Delta Junior College and a materials science education symposium at University of California Berkeley. The audience surveys were conducted using polleverywhere.com with responses to questions submitted by mobile phone or computer.

For engineering students, the survey involved three questions listed in Table I. The first question was an inquiry regarding their participation in flipped courses. The second question was a multiple-choice question regarding the use of online engineering education videos with the ability to select up to three options. The third question was an open-ended response question asking for audience opinions regarding the characteristics that make a "good" (i.e., effective) engineering screencast tutorial video.

For instructors, the survey also involved three questions listed in Table I. The first question inquired about whether the instructor has ever made a video for any course they teach. The second question is identical to the student survey, but instructors were prompted to respond to the question from a student's perspective. The third question was identical to the student survey.

 TABLE I.

 SURVEY QUESTIONS FOR STUDENTS AND INSTRUCTORS

	STUDENT QUESTIONS	INSTRUCTOR	
		QUESTIONS	
Q1:	Have you ever been a student	Have you made a video to	
	in a flipped lecture or course?	support a course you teach?	
Q2:	For what purpose(s) do you	For what purpose(s) are	
	use online engineering	students most likely to use	
	education videos? (choose up	online engineering education	
	to 3 options)	videos? (choose up to 3	
	 A) Homework Help 	options)	
	 B) Prepare for Lecture 	e A) Homework Help	
	C) Videos Shown in	 B) Prepare for Lecture 	
	Class	C) Videos Shown in	
	D) Lecture Capture	Class	
	E) Test Prep (FE)	D) Lecture Capture	
	Exam, midterms,	E) Test Prep (FE	
	finals, etc.)	Exam, midterms,	
	F) Supplement	finals, etc.)	
	Course Content	F) Supplement	
	G) Other	Course Content	
		G) Other	
Q3:	What qualities or	What qualities or	
	characteristics make a good	characteristics make a good	
	engineering video/tutorial?	engineering video/tutorial?	

A. Student Participation in a Flipped Classroom

For student question Q1 involving student participation in flipped courses, 53 of the 54 students responded. Of the 53, 18 (34%) indicated "yes" to having participated in a flipped classroom setting, while 35 (66%) indicated "no". The question was designed to determine the percentage of students who have participated in a flipped classroom environment and is not limited to engineering classrooms.

While this survey question is not a major component of this paper, the low cost, ease of production and distribution of video tutorials has supported the adoption of flipped classroom instruction, which is a blended learning model where videos are viewed outside of scheduled class time, and face-to-face meetings are used for alternative learning strategies (e.g., instructor guided active learning, cooperative learning, peer led team learning, etc.) that promote deeper learning. In a 2015 survey of higher education faculty 69.5% of respondents flipped an activity, class, period, or course, and planned to implement the model again [18].

B. Video Creation by Instructors

For instructor survey Q1, making of a video to support a course taught by the instructor, 43 responses were received. Of the 43, 18 (42%) instructors indicated "yes" to having created a video, while 25 (58%) indicated "no".

C. Use of Online Engineering Education Videos

Q2 on the survey inquired about the purpose for using online engineering education videos. Instructors were asked to answer this question from the student's perspective. For Q2, there were 140 total student responses and 91 total instructor responses. There were more responses than participants since participants could select up to three choices. Table II provides a summary of results.

For students surveyed, the top three uses of online screencast tutorials were as follows:

- Homework help (33.57%)
- Preparation for tests (26.43%)
- Supplementing course content (15%).

TABLE II.	
USE OF ONLINE SCREECAST TUTORIALS,	Q2

OPTIONS	STUDENT RESPONSES		INSTRUCTOR RESPONSES	
Homework help	47	33.57%	28	30.77%
Prepare for lecture	10	7.14%	8	8.79%
Videos shown in class	8	5.71%	7	7.69%
Lecture capture	4	2.86%	6	6.59%
Test prep	37	26.43%	31	34.07%
Supplement course content	21	15.00%	9	9.89%
Other	13	9.29%	2	2.20%

Based on the instructor survey results, instructors believed that engineering students utilized screencast tutorials for the following uses:

- Preparation for tests (34.07%)
- Homework help (30.77%)
- Supplementing course content (9.89%)

All of which agree with the top three student responses. The predominant use of online education videos is for homework help and exam preparation, which suggests that engineering students are using online videos to assist in solving engineering problems and seek to learn by worked examples from an "expert." Furthermore, there is reason to believe that engineering instructors understand the student needs and purposes for online engineering education videos.

D. Desired Qualities or Characteristics of Engineering Video Tutorials

Q3 on the survey was an open-ended question to compare student and instructor perceptions of the qualities and characteristics that constitute a "good" engineering video tutorial and serves as the main topic of this paper. This open-ended question compares the student and instructor perceptions of the features that constitute a quality screencast tutorial. There was a total of 42 responses from the 54 students and 60 responses from the 43 instructors. Participants in the survey could provide as many responses as desired. Since most responses were submitted via text message, survey participants responded with single words or short phrases.

As an initial review of the student and instructor responses, word clouds were generated using wordart.com to quickly gain a visual representation of responses and identify the characteristics that stood out for each group. The word clouds generated from student responses and instructor responses to this question are shown in Figure 1 and Figure 2, respectively.

Based on the generated word clouds, it appears that students prefer step-by-step example problems, while instructors emphasize features such as short, entertaining, and clear. While the word clouds provide a preliminary analysis of open-ended survey responses, they fail to group words with similar meanings; and some words can be interpreted in the wrong context [7]. In this paper, the word clouds provide an initial supplemental analysis to guide the direction for a detailed text analysis.



Figure 1. Student Responses for Desired Characteristics of Engineering Video Tutorials



Figure 2. Instructor Responses for Desired Characteristics of Engineering Video Tutorials

To conduct a more thorough textural analysis, the openended responses provided by students and instructors were grouped into one of the following five categories: Instructor Presence, Organization, Visual Aids, Content, and Production Quality. The categories are listed below followed by sample responses.

- Instructor Presence "energy", "funny", "entertaining", "enthusiastic"
- Organization "short", "concise", "step-by-step", "well-explained"
- Visual Aids– "diagrams", "figures", "clear writing", "pretty"
- Content "examples", "problem solving", "theory", "interesting"
- Production Quality "audio quality", "mobilefriendly", "HD quality"

Words and phrases from these responses pertained to various aspects of content and delivery, which are equally important for effective instruction and in developing an engaging classroom environment.

As listed in Table III, the top two categories for students were organization/preparation at 40.5% and content at 31%. For instructors, the top category was also organization/preparation at 41.7% followed by instructor presence at 23.3%. For instructors, content was a close third at 21.7%. Figure 3 provides a graphical comparison of student and instructor responses.

TABLE III. Count of Responses by Category

CATECODY	Count		Percentage	
CATEGORY	Student	Instructor	Student	Instructor
Instructor Presence	6	14	14.3%	23.3%
Organization	17	24	40.5%	41.7%
Visual Aids	6	2	14.3%	3.3%
Content	13	13	31.0%	21.7%
Production Quality	0	7	0%	10.0%



Figure 3. Comparison of Student and Instructor Responses for Q3

III. TEXTUAL ANALYSIS OF Q3 RESPONSES

A. Organization

From Figure 3, it is evident that students and instructors both value organization in engineering education videos. Organization was considered the most important category based on total survey responses from the student and instructor groups with a total of 43 responses (17 student responses and 26 instructor responses). Responses related to organization were further divided into descriptive subcategories as summarized in Table IV.

TABLE IV. SUBCATEGORY COUNTS FOR ORGANIZATION

Descriptive	Sample Responses	Count		
Subcategories		Students	Instructors	
Conveys information succinctly	"short", "concise"	6	16	
Does not contain mistakes	"error-free", "accurate"	2	1	
Easy to comprehend	"straightforward", "clear"	3	7	
Video is arranged in a systematic way	"step-by-step", "in-order"	4	1	
Video is thorough	"detailed", "well thought-out"	2	1	

The responses from instructors and students emphasized multiple subcategories related to organization, the top three are as follows:

- Convey information succinctly 22 total responses
- Easy to comprehend 10 total responses
- Video is arranged in a systematic way 5 total responses

Creating an organized video that is succinct, straightforward, and systematic shares many similarities with the processes associated with effective lesson planning. Identifying an appropriate lesson objective, accompanied by preparation and planning, prior to recording is a critical step in the video production process in order to fulfill intended lesson objectives. Another important factor in creating a video that is "short" involves editing after recording, where various speaking tics such as "uhms" and "ahhhs" can be deleted. Also, writing out equations and solving calculations can be compressed to be viewed rapidly in order to reduce "dead time" in a video.

B. Content

The content of an online engineering tutorial video was the second most important characteristic with a total of 26 responses (13 student and 13 instructor responses). Responses related to content were further divided into subcategories as summarized in Table V.

Descriptive	Sample Responses	Count	
Subcategories		Students	Instructors
Effectiveness of the video content	"interesting", "appropriate complexity"	1	6
Interacting with the viewer	"interactive", "allow check points"	0	4
Worked Examples	"problem solving", "examples"	11	3
Explanation of	"theory"	1	0

For students, worked examples were overwhelmingly the most desired content type, with 11 of 13 responses specifically stating "problem solving" or "examples" as an important characteristic. The desire for worked examples is reasonable when considering that undergraduate engineering students are typically involved in courses that require the development of problem-solving skills. Worked examples are a proven and popular approach to help learners build cognitive skills, particularly in the early stages of learning [8], [9]. In fact, students are known to bypass textual and verbal descriptions in favor of examples to complete problem assignments [9].

Amongst instructors, there was a distribution of responses related to content with an emphasis on the effectiveness of the video content and interacting with the viewer. These responses potentially reflect the importance instructors place on retaining the attention of the learner throughout the video. There were no responses related to creating content that provides derivations or explanations of theory.

C. Instructor Presence

With 20 total responses (6 student 14 instructor responses), instructor presence was considered the third most important feature based on overall responses. Responses related to the instructor presence category were subcategorized as shown in Table VI.

 TABLE VI.

 SUBCATEGORY COUNTS FOR INSTRUCTOR PRESENCE

Descriptive	Sample Responses	Count		
Subcategories		Students	Instructors	
Ability to keep learner interested	"entertaining", "engaging"	0	4	
Appropriate use of humor	"making it funny", "humor"	1	6	
Knowledge of the subject matter	"knowledge"	0	1	
Level of enthusiasm for the subject	"energy", "enthusiastic"	4	1	
Speaking ability	"a good voice", "clear speech"	1	2	

While student responses were focused on the ability of the instructor to convey enthusiasm for the subject, instructor responses stressed the insertion of humor and ability to maintain the interest of the viewer by being engaging and entertaining. Instructor responses also allude to the importance of retaining the attention of the viewer throughout the video. While speaking voice and body language are important factors influencing instructor presence during a face-to-face classroom meeting, the speaking voice in a video tutorial is the most important factor in communicating excitement in the topic and maintaining the learner's interest.

D. Visual Aids and Production Quality

Based on the student and instructor responses, visual aids (8 total responses, 6 student and 2 instructor) and production quality (6 total responses, 0 student and 6 instructor) categories did not garner significant interest. In comparing the two categories, students seemed to favor visual aids over production quality, while faculty favored production quality over visual aids. Table VII and Table VIII provide subcategory counts for the Visual Aids and Production Quality categories, respectively.

TABLE VII. SUBCATEGORY COUNTS FOR VISUAL AIDS

Descriptive	Sample Responses	Count		
Subcategories		Students	Instructors	
Attractiveness of figures and drawings	"pretty", "pretty diagrams", "nice looking"	1	2	
Clarity of writing, figures, and drawings	"well-drawn diagrams", "clear writing"	2	0	
Provides visuals	"figures", "visuals"	3	0	

Students responses regarding visual aids emphasized the importance of providing figures and visuals to support the aim of the video. In addition, videos having clearwriting and well-drawn diagrams were considered important characteristics of visual aids.

TABLE VIII. SUBCATEGORY COUNTS FOR PRODUCTION QUALITY

Descriptive	Sample Responses	Count	
Subcategories		Students	Instructors
Audio Quality	"great audio quality", "sound quality"	0	3
Mobility	"mobile friendly"	0	1
Production Value	"good production values"	0	1

All responses related to production quality were from instructor responses. These responses emphasized sound quality of a video as well as mobility, production value, and video resolution. Although student responses did not consider the sound quality or video resolution, a minimum level of production quality is necessary because without adequate video resolution or audio quality the viewer can be easily distracted from the learning objectives, or the video content may be distorted and not easily accessible to the learner.

IV. VIDEO TUTORIAL RECOMMENDATIONS

Creating online engineering video tutorials is analogous to the film making process, which is characterized by the three distinct stages shown in Figure 4. First is the preproduction or planning stage followed by the production stage or recording, and finishing with the post-production stage. Implementing these stages in video production or any project is not new and often requires a team of specialists in each phase to produce a high-quality video; however, many instructors lack the support personnel needed at each phase of video production. In this section, recommendations are provided for each of these stages based on the findings of Q3 survey responses, and the authors' learned experiences in creating over 200 online The intent here is to engineering video tutorials. emphasize critical aspects that can be implemented by a single instructor within the video production framework to efficiently produce videos that can enhance audience retention.



Figure 4. Video Production Process

A. Stage 1: Pre-Production

Based on the survey results, the pre-production or planning stage is the most important aspect of the video making process since it directly influences its organization characteristics (convey information succinctly, minimize errors, and arranging video in a systematic way). Furthermore, the pre-production stage is critical in identifying the appropriate content, level of complexity, and methods to interact with the viewer. For this stage the following suggestions or steps are recommended:

1) Define one learning objective per video tutorial

A significant quantity of literature exists supporting the importance of student learning objectives in education [10], [11] as well as guidance on best practices in writing effective learning objectives [11], [12].

Selecting one learning objective at the beginning of the video and explaining its relevance to the engineering discipline provides the viewer with a clear expectation of the content in the video and what is to be gained by watching the video. In addition, limiting the content of the video to a single learning objective assists the creator in identifying the type of video to create (e.g., problem solving, concept explanation, etc.), and avoids overwhelming the viewer.

2) Create board notes as a storyboard before recording

Most of the pre-production stage of the video creation process involves planning the content that is to be presented in the video. The planning process generally involves segmenting the content into a step-by-step process, identifying key points, preparing visuals, drawings, and calculations [2]. Pre-planning allows the instructor to discretize complex concepts into manageable portions [3]. Here we recommend the use of detailed, sequential board notes to organize the content. Board notes are accurate handwritten representations of an entire classroom presentation, where an 8.5" by 11" sheet of paper is segmented into 4 to 6 rectangular panels, each representing a portion of the white board in a classroom [13]. Like story boards used in film production, board notes can be used to provide a layout of how a viewer would see the content in a video, complete with written text, illustrations, and calculations. In addition, prepared board notes can help to identify gaps in the information being presented or identify information that can be omitted from the video, ultimately saving time for the instructor throughout the entire production process. An example of board notes created for an example problem in mechanics of materials is shown in Figure 5.

B. Stage 2: Production – Recording

1) Use necessary hardware and software to make the video accessible

While video resolution and sound quality were not notes as factors by students, appropriate hardware and software are necessary to create a video that results in sufficient screen resolution and sound quality/volume so that production factors do not detract from the learning objective.



Figure 5. Board Notes Example

The following hardware and software components can be used by authors to create effective screencast tutorials:

- Tablet PC Microsoft Surface Pro 3 and Lenovo Yoga ThinkPad
- Microphones Blue Yeti, Koss CS 95 Headset
- Screen Capture and Editing Software Camtasia Studio

 Writing Software – Windows Journal, Microsoft Office OneNote

2) Use Voice to Connect with the Viewer

A video at its best can stimulate the sight and sound senses of a viewer to elicit an emotional response; consequently, the spoken voice on the video best characterizes the instructor's presence and is the best opportunity to engage and to motivate the viewer excitement. An effective use of voice is to elicit and maintain attention and to stimulate the viewers emotions [14]. Lowman [14] provides many exercises for instructors to improve communication skills through their While the expressive range of speaking voice. professional actors is not necessary to make an effective and engaging video tutorial. the following recommendations or practices may be useful in increasing audience retention throughout the length of the video:

- Use variations in pitch, inflection, and pace to engage and to retain attention [14]. Simple variations in pitch and changes in pace can convey enthusiasm for the topic or be used to highlight critical steps in a video tutorial. It is worth noting that voice projection is not as essential in video production as it is in the classroom environment, since audio volume can be adjusted during the postproduction process.
- Record the video as if it were a one-to-one tutoring session. Often instructors are more comfortable in one-to-one instructional environment and can convey a more relaxed energy that can be inviting to the audience [14]. Another consideration is to record the video tutorial during a live office hour session with one or two students, which can help the instructor express energy and enthusiasm in his/her speech, like how a live studio audience helps actors perform to their best.

3) Write/Draw Clearly

Many engineering video tutorials involve video screen capture of an instructor solving example problems on notetaking software (e.g., Windows Journal or MS OneNote). Writing and drawing clearly is critical to creating an effective video. An instructor using Board Notes should aim to have the text and images identically reproduced on the notetaking software during the tutorial.

At a minimum the text should be large enough to read, since videos may be viewed on mobile devices with smaller screens. Often it is much easier to have neater writing when writing in capital letters. In the authors' experience, writing letters at least 1/8" tall on PC tablets, when recording at a video resolution of 720p, tends to be visually acceptable when uploaded on YouTube and viewed on mobile devices.

Drawing software also makes it easier to integrate multiple colors into the handwriting and diagrams. Colors can be useful in creating a more visually stimulating presentation or can be used to distinguish between features in a video (e.g., problem statement – green, headings in problem solving steps – blue, boxing final answers – red, etc.)

C. Stage 3: Post Production

1) Edit to be Concise

While editing was not identified directly as a critical feature for engineering video tutorials by students and instructors, concise (part of organization in Q2) presentation can be achieved by properly editing the video. That is, editing in post-production is an effective means to creating short, concise videos. A well-edited video can cut and blend segments together to maintain the flow of the video and keep the viewer engaged in the learning process. The following recommendations in the editing process can help shorten the duration of a video tutorial and help create a video that keeps the viewer engaged:

- Cut out verbal tics such as deep breaths, sighs, grunts, and phrases (e.g., "you know", "so", "okay", etc.). The excessive occurrence of verbal tics in an instructor's speaking voice can distract from the ideas being presented [14].
- Cut or speed up portions of videos where there is silence during writing out text, equations, or drawing figures. This keeps the instructors voice active throughout the entire length of the video and minimizes periods of silence where the attention of the viewer can be lost. For instance, in video editing software the clip speed can be increased to transition quickly through time where only writing or drawing occurs in the video.

V. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, survey responses to questions on engineering video tutorials were presented to examine the use and desired characteristics of videos in engineering education. Survey results suggested that engineering students are most likely to utilize online engineering video tutorials for homework help and support for test preparation. The primary goal of the survey was to identify the qualities and characteristics that constitute a quality engineering video tutorial from the perspective of students and instructors. The major findings from the survey are summarized as follows:

- For both students and instructors, approximately 40% of responses were classified under the category of Organization, where desirable videos were able to (1) convey information succinctly; (2) easy to comprehend; and (3) arranged in a systematic manner.
- Responses categorized under Content were the second most common when combining students and instructors, with students favoring "examples" or "problem solving" type videos; whereas, instructors appeared more focused on maintaining the viewer's attention with "interesting" and "interactive" videos.
- The focus on audience retention was evident in instructor responses with the Instructor Presence category having approximately the same number of responses as the Content category.
- A second goal of the paper was to provide recommendations to create engineering video tutorials that align with the desired qualities and attributes as expressed in the survey results. The

recommendations provided in this paper are summarized below:

1. Pre-Production

- a. Define one learning objective for each video tutorial
- b. Create board notes as a storyboard before recording

2. Recording

- a. Use appropriate hardware and software to make the video accessible
- b. Use Voice to Connect with the Viewer
- c. Write and Draw Clearly.

3. Post-Production

a. Edit to be Concise

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

Many thanks to the Center for Teaching and Learning at the University of the Pacific in Stockton, CA for providing equipment for video production and space for collaboration and discussion in completing this publication.

REFERENCES

- Kay, R.H., "Exploring the use of video podcasts in education: A comprehensive review of the literature," Computers in Human Behavior, 28, 820-831, 2012.
- [2] Kay, R. and Kletskin, I., "Evaluating the use of problem-based video podcasts to teach mathematics in higher education," Computers & Education, 59, 619-627, 2012.
- [3] Johanes P. and Laegerstrom, L., "Online Videos: What Every Instructor Should Know," in Proc. ASEE's 123rd Annual Conference & Exposition, New Orleans, LA, June 26-29, 2016.
- [4] Kaltura, "The State of Video in Education 2017 A Kaltura Report", 2017. https://corp.kaltura.com/sites/default/files/The_State_Of_Video_in _Education_2017.pdf [Accessed 01/30/2018].
- [5] Schwartz, D.L. and Hartmann, K., "It is not television anymore: Designing digital video for learning and assessment," Video Research in the Learning Sciences, R. Goldman, S. Derry, R. Pea, & B. Barron (Eds.), 335-348, 2007.
- [6] Ahmad, T.B.T., Doheny, F., Facherty, S. and Harding, N., "How Instructor-Developed Screencasts Benefit College Students Learning of Maths: Insights from an Irish Case Study," The Malaysian Online Journal of Educational Technology, 1(4), 12-25, 2013.
- [7] McNaught, C. and Lam, P., "Using Wordle as a Supplementary Research Tool," The Qualitative Report, 15(3), 630-643, 2010.
- [8] Atkinson, R. K., Derry, S. J., Renkl, A. & Wortham, D., "Learning from Examples: Instructional Principles from the Worked Examples Research." Review of Educational Research, 70(2), 181-214, 2000.
- [9] Clark, R. C. & Mayer, R. E., e-Learning and the Science of Instruction, Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley & Sons, Inc., 2016.
- [10] Mager, R., Preparing instructional objectives: A critical tool in the development of effective instruction, 3rd Edition, Atlanta, GA: Center for Effective Performance, 1997.
- [11] Marzano, R., Designing and teaching learning goals and objectives: classroom strategies that work, Bloomington, IN: Marzano Research Laboratory, 2009.
- [12] Davis, B.G., Tools for Teaching, 2nd Edition, San Francisco, CA: John Wiley & Sons, 2009.
- [13] Ressler, S.J., Welch R.W., and Meyer, K.F., "Teaching Lessons Learned: Organizing and Delivering Classroom Instruction,"

ASCE J. Professional Issues in Engineering Education and Practice, 130 (3), 153-156, 2004.

- [14] Lowman, J., Mastering the Techniques of Teaching, 2nd Edition, San Francisco, CA: John Wiley & Sons, Inc., 1995.
- [15] Worlitz, J., Stabler, A., Peplowsky, S. and Woll, R., "Video Tutorial: An Appropriate Way of Teaching Quality Management Tools Applied with Software," Quality Innovation Prosperity, 20 (2), 169-184, 2016.
- [16] Burke, S.C., Snyder, S., and Rager, R.C., "An Assessment of Faculty Usage of YouTube as a Teaching Resource," The International Journal of Allied Health Sciences and Practice, 7(1), 1-8, 2009.
- [17] Bateman, J.A. and Schmidt-Borcherding, F., "The communicative Effectiveness of education Videos: towards an empirically-Motivated Multimodal Account," Multimodal Technologies and Interaction,"2(59), 27 pages, 2018.
- [18] Magna, "Flipped Classroom Trends: A Survey of College Faculty", Magna Publications, Inc. Madison. <https://www.facultyfocus.com/wpcontent/uploads/2015/08/Flipped-Classroom-Trends_FF-Report-2015.pdf> Last Accessed 11/21/2018.

AUTHORS

L.S. Lee is a Professor of Civil Engineering at the University of the Pacific in Stockton, California. He teaches courses in engineering mechanics and structural design (email: llee4@pacific.edu)

H. Estrada is a Professor of Civil Engineering at the University of the Pacific in Stockton, California. He teaches courses in computer aided design and seismic analysis and design. (e-mail: hestrada@pacific.edu)

M. Khazaeli is Assistant Professor of Engineering Management at the University of the Pacific in Stockton, CA. He teaches courses in project management, data analytics, and design innovation.